

## Sharon Craig

---

**From:** Planning  
**Sent:** 21 July 2021 14:36  
**To:** Planning  
**Subject:** REDACT AND UPLOAD 3/2021/0727 land off Moor Lane  
**Attachments:** IMG-20210721-WA0001.jpg; IMG-20210721-WA0003.jpg; IMG-20210721-WA0002.jpg; IMG-20210721-WA0000.jpg

**Categories:** xRedact & Upload

[REDACTED]  
**Sent:** 21 July 2021 11:48  
**To:** Planning <planning@ribblevalley.gov.uk>  
**Subject:** FAO Rebecca Bowers



This email originated from outside Ribble Valley Borough Council. Do NOT click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and are sure the content within this email is safe.

Hello...  
Thank you for your email regarding application

[https://www.ribblevalley.gov.uk/site/scripts/planx\\_details.php?appNumber=3%2F2021%2F0727..](https://www.ribblevalley.gov.uk/site/scripts/planx_details.php?appNumber=3%2F2021%2F0727..)

In principle we have no objection to a Agricultural building within the portion of land owned by [REDACTED]

However we find it totally baffling as to why a building would not be situated at the Southern side of the parcel of land..

The main reason being a huge access gateway already in place. As per the 1st two pictures provided... With existing hard standing. Lots of visibility from the road for traffic and less impact to the dwellings above, not to mention the road is wider in this gateway... Less visibility of the building from the surrounding area due to the large hedge planted on the roadside.. therefore less impact on the surrounding area, Which is afterall in AONB..

My concern of using the top entrance and proposed site for the building would be poor access to the road.( As per the last 2 pics) Small gateway and narrow road. Unsuitable for access with tractor and machines . With the road already crumbling away up the narrow portion.. With additional traffic unnecessarily travelling past 3 dwellings on the side of the road.

The building itself would be prominent within the landscape with a eaves height of 14ft... [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]. Tractors or other modern machines...

A track spanning the full width of the field seems like a unnecessary activity when the building could be placed closer to the main road... similarly to The stable block on the field above the proposed site...

[REDACTED] By the end of September the land could not sustain such numbers of sheep. So not sure how an enterprise of 40 sheep are sustainable on the [REDACTED] land...

[REDACTED] which begs the question of why 14ft to the eaves?, why 2 large roller shutter doors?. When 12ft would be more than adequate. And Roller shutters aren't normally used on any Agricultural buildings I have ever seen other than workshops...

As I say we have no objection to a building. But would like to think the concerns we have, would be totally legitimate and a common sense approach to the proposal.

Many thanks for contacting us.

Yours sincerely

[REDACTED]