

From: Contact Centre (CRM) <contact@ribblevalley.gov.uk>

Sent: 17 May 2021 16:00

To: Web Development <webdevelopment@ribblevalley.gov.uk>; Planning <planning@ribblevalley.gov.uk>

Subject: Planning Application Comments - 3/2021/0397

Title: [REDACTED]

First Name: [REDACTED]

Surname: [REDACTED]

Is your address in Ribble Valley?: Yes

Enter a postcode or street: [REDACTED]

Choose Address: [REDACTED]

Selected address: [REDACTED]

lat: 53.839694303065

lng: -2.3992698997476

Locality:

County: Lancashire

uprn: 10022974428

usrn: 31802134

ward: E05005315

Planning Application Reference Number: 3/2021/0397

Address of Development: Land at Barrow Brook Enterprise Park Barrow BB7 9QZ

Grid Ref: 374112 438276

Your Comments: Dear Sir or Madam

Regarding planning application 3/2021/0397 we wish to make the following objections to this new application and advise of our concern regarding the said application.

Objections:

1) Site traffic overload to the available No of parking spaces at current units on this site, is already causing visitors to park on residential roads making them highly prone to accidents and congestion given they are heavily used by families from the neighbouring residential areas.

2) We currently have noise issues with car doors slamming and delivery wagons left running, sometimes even overnight.

3) Current application entirely differs from initial application that residents had agreed i.e. (Nursery) in both look and footprint and is therefore higher, wider, unattractive and more commercial, with less parking available due to a larger structure, overshadowing current residential houses on Hey Road making the current application site plan changes as shown Drwg No BS.18-040(B)/02 unacceptable.

4) Opening times unacceptable.

5) Added roller shutter entrance facing Ribble Cycles, therefore taking away valuable car parking spaces when the original entrance faced units north East towards Srewfix unit 9 on the site.

6) Previous square meters for the original office plan was 323mtrs and is now proposed 420mtrs reducing parking even further and encroachment on landscapes along Hey Road.

7) Landscaping unacceptable as planned, needs more depth and noise reduction incorporating and also needs to be more focus on ascetics.

Concerns:

1) That these newly proposed units become different once approved by way of additional amendments being granted and therefore becoming a nuisance to the Residents as is the case with Unit No2 now being used as a wheel refurbishment site and totally 100% noise issues from this site droning on every time the fans are working, soundproofing is unacceptable and ridiculously inadequate don't know how it ever got local authorities approval, but this unit would have never been accepted had people realised it's nature, hence the concern over these new units as opposed to the original application for offices with same footprint as Nursery.

2) Poor Landscaping as is the case with Mr Schofield's units 1-6 adjacent to Hey Road when better environmentally soundproof and better ascetic landscaping was promised.

3) Unsightly Bin storage at rear of unit 1 facing residential house on Hey Rd along with waste Metal Framework dumped at rear of unit 1 also facing residential property on Hey Road, No screening provide which could also become a theme within this planned site.

4) More Oak Trees along Hey Road are allowed to be taken down despite having a protection order which is what's already happened with Mr Schofield's applications and following amendments, shame on someone for allowing this, still of real concern could again happen.

Kind Regards

