

**From:** Contact Centre (CRM) <contact@ribblevalley.gov.uk>  
**Sent:** 16 February 2022 07:42  
**To:** Planning  
**Subject:** Planning Application Comments - 3/2022/0082

**Name:**

**Address:**

**Planning Application Reference No.:** 3/2022/0082

**Address of Development:** The Old Garage Site Newton Road Dunsop Bridge BB7 3BB

**Comments:** 3/2022/0082 - Planning objections

We are aware [redacted] has written an in-depth objection to the proposed plans, focusing on the essential unnecessary destruction of [redacted]

We do not wish to go over old rope and repeat these objections and reasoning. We would however like to state we have read [redacted] Objections and are in full agreement with all the points and we strongly ask that the plans for the public path that will [redacted] be rejected and an alternative solution found, that does not have an unnecessary and detrimental impact [redacted]

Additional personal statement

[redacted] We would like to think we are strong and contributing members of the 'community'.

Dunsop bridge is a beautiful area and as the local council is / should be aware. Weekends, holidays the village can become overrun with tourists, walkers, family's etc. Frequently the overload of the village forces us with no option to abandon our cars in obscure locations just to be able for us to get to our home. Parking up our driveway is impossible due to the congested roads.

[redacted] quite literally our only means of escape from the madness. Without this it would be quite literally unbearable to live at the property in these busy times.

We are now in a situation that this only means of escape is potentially going to be removed by creating a totally unnecessary and unplanned footpath. Furthermore, quite literally within less than a stone's throw distance there will be places for 20 -30 bikes. I am a keen cyclist myself and welcome anything that encourages cycling. However surely [redacted]

The plans that have been submitted have had no consideration to the residents [REDACTED] site and we have been in a way bullied into accepting this situation. Quite literally our only means to prevent this from happening are these objections.

To be clear we do not object to the re-development, we object to the breach of privacy from certain aspects of the plans.

- Public walkway.
- Destruction of [REDACTED] to accommodate the footpath.
- Invasion of privacy from public using footpath.
- Environmental impact of the [REDACTED]
- Proximity of public spaces to the [REDACTED]

Regarding the submitted planning, we would like to bring to your attention that the current site plan is incorrect and is based on records that are decades outdated. The landlord / Dutchy are aware of this but have chosen to ignore this and create the new plans based on outdated information. The plans do not represent the existing layout of the [REDACTED]

Quite simply the plans have been drawn up blind, with a complete lack of understanding or worse a complete lack of consideration to the current topography of [REDACTED] and any impact caused by the plan to the residents.

Is it really feasible to create a community center by removing part of the existing communities living area? As we will stress completely and totally unnecessarily. There are apparently an obtundent of alternatives (dutchy statement to us) so why take this destructive approach? These actions are essentially forcing tenants out of the village. This kind draconian behavior is more representative to the Victorian era. If this was an infrastructure planning proposal, then we would say ok fair enough its for the greater good but I believe are voices would be heard more clearly than they have by the land owner... However it is essentially for a café and the ability to lease that property for profit.

As long-term members of this community we genuinely are struggling to see the benefit this development has for the community and those adjacent to the property.

I have spoken to all the neighbors [REDACTED] proposed development and the consensus is, if the plans go ahead unchallenged or amended we will all be looking to vacate the properties. This is very much sadly the situation. The land owner is aware of this but it would appear after the submission of these plans we are to be considered collateral damage for this vanity project.

Thank you in advance for your consideration of our objection.

[REDACTED]

1 Ivy Cottage  
Dunsop Bridge  
Clitheroe  
BB7 3BB