BY MR AND MRS J AND M HINDLE TO RIBBLE VALLEY BOROUGH COUNCIL FOR

INTERNAL WORKS

AT
58 CHURCH STREET, RIBCHESTER, PR3 3YE

LISTED BUILDING CONSENT SUPPORT STATEMENT

MAY 2014

Janet Dixon
Town planners limited

Mike Gee BA(Hons) MRTPI Consultant Janet Dixon Town Planners Ltd. 144 Woone Lane Clitheroe Lancashire BB7 1BN

1. INTRODUCTION

- 1.1 This Support Statement has been prepared to accompany a listed building consent application by Mr and Mrs J and M Hindle, to Ribble Valley Borough Council.
- 1.2 This Statement should be read in conjunction with the listed building consent application forms, plans, and Heritage Statement also submitted with this application.

2 THE PROPOSAL

- 2.1 A range of internal works are proposed, including:
 - the removal of partitioning to form an entrance lobby and formation of a new entrance lobby;
 - the removal of a staircase leading from the ground floor to the first floor and insertion of a new staircase, including the removal of a section of a ceiling beam to facilitate the insertion of the new staircase and the provision of a salvaged timber support post to the ceiling beam;
 - the removal of partitioning at first floor, installation of new partitioning to allow for the formation of a revised room layout;
 - the removal of a staircase leading from the first floor to the attic floor and insertion of a new staircase; and
 - the removal of a chip board floor to the attic room and removal of plasterboard / insulation to the underside of the ceiling / roof to the attic room, the laying of a new chipboard floor and provision of new insulation / boarding to the underside of the ceiling / roof.
- 2.2 It should be noted that listed building consent would not be required for most of the works listed above as they do not affect the character or appearance of the listed building. However, it is accepted that the installation of a new ground floor to first floor staircase with the associated works to a celling beam do constitute works for which listed building consent is required. Some of the internal works have already been carried out. The applicants did not appreciate the need for listed building consent to be obtained. The applicant has ceased further works at the property pending the outcome of this and other listed building consent applications made at this time.

2.3 Planning permission is not required for the works.

3 SITE AND SURROUNDINGS

3.1 The application property is situated on the north east side of Church Street in a central location within Ribchester. The application property is an end-terrace, stone-built, slate-roofed dwelling, within an area of other similar and some more modern property.

4 PLANNING HISTORY

- 4.1 The relevant planning history at the site is as follows:
 - 3/77/975 Proposed alterations and extensions (including first-floor extension over existing single-storey side extension). Proposed works constituted permitted development, 9 November 1977; and
 - 3/88/0863 Replacement windows. Listed Building Consent granted 13 February 1989.
- 4.2 A Housing Act 1974 Improvement Grant for 'improvements, repairs and replacements' was granted on 18 August 1978. The works are not specified in the available paperwork. However, it is understood that the works were as per those shown in the 3/77/975 proposal and included the installation of an internal bathroom.
- 4.3 Following a re-survey of the statutory list of buildings of architectural and historic interest carried out in the early 1980s, the terrace of properties 50 to 58 (consecutive) were listed as grade II on 22 November 1983. The listing description is:

Row of houses, late C18th. Squared sandstone with slate roofs and brick chimney caps. 2 storeys. Each house of one bay, with a door to the right. All the windows are modern, those to nos. 53-56 having plain stone surrounds, those to nos.57 and 58 having plain reveals. The doors have plain stone surrounds, except that to no.57, which has plain reveals. The ground-floor window surround to no.54 is wide and possibly reconstructed. No.55 has an additional ground-floor window to the left, with plain reveals, possibly in a former tunnel doorway. No.56 has a

doorcase with fluted pilasters, fluted frieze and open pediment on console brackets. Above is a plaque dated '1795'.

5 RELEVANT POLICY AND GUIDANCE

5.1 The main component of the Development Plan, as relevant to the application, is the Ribble Valley Districtwide Local Plan (adopted in June 1998).

Ribble Valley Districtwide Local Plan

- 5.2 The following saved policies of the adopted Local Plan are relevant to the proposal:
 - Policy ENV16: Conservation Areas within conservation areas development will be strictly controlled to ensure that it reflects the character of the area in terms of scale, size, design and materials; and
 - Policy ENV20: Listed Buildings proposals for the alteration or repair of listed buildings should be sympathetic to their character and appearance.
 The most important features of any listed building will be preserved.
- 5.3 The Local Plan Proposals Map shows the application property to be within the Ribchester settlement area and a Conservation Area (ENV16).

National Policy

- 5.4 The NPPF clearly states 'that the purpose of the planning system is to contribute to the achievement of sustainable development' (paragraph 6). Paragraph 197 confirms that 'in assessing and determining development proposals, local planning authorities should apply the presumption in favour of sustainable development'.
- 5.5 The main body of the NPPF addresses the components of sustainable development.

 The component of relevance to the application is:
 - 'conserving and enhancing the historic environment' 'in determining applications, local planning authorities should require an applicant to describe the significance of any heritage assets affected. The level of detail should be proportionate to the assets' importance and no more than is sufficient to understand the potential impact of the proposal on their significance' (paragraph 128). 'Where a proposed development will lead to substantial harm to or loss of significance of a designated heritage asset, local planning

authorities should refuse consent unless various criteria are met (paragraph 133). 'Where a development will lead to less than substantial harm to the significance of a designated heritage asset, this harm should be weighed against the public benefits of the proposal, including securing its optimum viable use' (paragraph 134).

National Guidance

- 5.6 The relevant provisions of the recently published (March 2014) online Planning Practice Guidance (PPG) are outlined below.
- 5.7 In defining the circumstances in which Listed Building Consent is required the PPG refers to 'any works to demolish any part of a listed building or to alter or extend it in a way that affects its character as a building of special architectural or historic interest require listed building consent. It adds that 'for all grades of listed building, the listing status covers the entire building, internal and external, objects fixed to it and sometimes also attached and curtilage buildings or other structures'.
- 5.8 In explaining why 'significance' is important in decision-making, the PPG refers to 'heritage assets may be affected by direct physical change or by change in their setting. Being able to properly assess the nature, extent and importance of the significance of a heritage asset, and the contribution of its setting, is very important to understanding the potential impact and acceptability of development proposals'.

circumstances, it may still be less than substantial harm or conceivably not harmful at all, for example, when removing later inappropriate additions to historic buildings which harm their significance. Similarly, works that are moderate or minor in scale are likely to cause less than substantial harm or no harm at all. However, even minor works have the potential to cause substantial harm'.

Emerging Local Planning Policy

- 5.10 Ribble Valley Borough Council is in the process of preparing a Local Plan Core Strategy. The Plan is presently at the examination stage.
- 5.11 The following policies of the emerging Local Plan are relevant to the proposal:
 - Policy EN5: Heritage Assets seeks to conserve and enhance heritage assets in a manner appropriate to their significance for their heritage value, their contribution to local character, distinctiveness and sense of place, and to wider social, cultural and environmental benefits. This will be achieved in a number of ways including carefully considering any development proposals;
 - Policy DME2: Landscape and Townscape Protection development proposals will be refused which significantly harm important features, including townscape elements such as the scale, form, and materials that contribute to the characteristic townscapes of the area; and
 - Policy DME4: Protecting Heritage Assets in considering development proposals the Council will make a presumption in favour of the conservation and enhancement of heritage assets. Proposals within conservation areas should not harm the area, including the considerations set out in the relevant Conservation Area Appraisal. Development in conservation areas will be strictly controlled to ensure that it respects the character of the area in terms of its location, scale, size, design and materials. In conservation areas there will be a presumption in favour of the preservation of elements that make a positive contribution to the character or appearance of the conservation area. Any proposals involving the demolition or loss of important historic fabric from listed buildings will be refused unless it can be demonstrated that this is unavoidable.

Other Relevant Policy and Guidance Documents

Ribchester Conservation Area Appraisal

- 5.12 The Appraisal (published in 2006) refers to Ribchester being dominated by rows of handloom weavers' cottages, many of which were built in the late eighteenth century. The summary of the area's special interest includes reference to 'narrow, closely developed streets of former handloom weavers' settlement', 'handloom weavers' cottages, including two with cellar loomshops, particularly in Church Street and Blackburn Road, and 'the prevalent use of local building stone'. The Appraisal goes on to note that 'Ribchester has a high number of buildings surviving from the late eighteenth century, with Ribchester being 'substantially rebuilt from the late eighteenth century into the early nineteenth century corresponding with its growth as an industrial village and centre of handloom weaving. The survival of these buildings in Ribchester has retained a character that would have been shared by many of east Lancashire's textile towns around 1800'. The Appraisal identifies one of the area's strengths to be the 'historic character and appearance of the core area'. Negative features identified include 'loss of architectural detail (original windows, doors etc), and insensitive alteration of historic buildings spoiling the conservation area's historic character and appearance'. The threat to the area is identified as 'continuing loss of original architectural details and use of inappropriate modern materials or details'.
- 5.13 The Townscape Appraisal Map identifies the application property as forming part of a listed terrace. The view along Church Street is shown as 'important'.
 - Ribchester Conservation Area Management Guidance
- 5.14 The Guidance (published in 2006) makes recommendations intended to address the threat and negative features identified in the Appraisal. However, none of these are relevant in this instance, given that the works are wholly internal.

6 ASSESSMENT

- 6.1 Having regard to the nature of the proposal, its context and relevant national and local planning policies, the main issues for consideration are:
 - what is the significance of the heritage asset; and

 what is the impact of the internal works on the heritage significance of the building.

The Heritage Statement also submitted in support of this application addresses these issues.

- 6.2 The application property is a designated heritage asset, being listed as part of the terrace 50 58 Church Street, Ribchester and is located within the designated Conservation Area. The Heritage Statement identifies the significance of the building as part of the terrace, representing a period in local history, rather than for any particular internal or external features. There is no evidence to suggest that the internal arrangements or features of the building are of any special heritage significance. Indeed, by its very nature, this is not a building which would have fine or notable internal features. In any event, the interior of the building has been much changed over the years. In particular, the housing improvement grant and extension works carried out in the 1970s resulted in substantial remodelling of the interior, including the installation of a new ground floor to first floor staircase and changes to room layouts. Further internal alterations were carried out later to provide a staircase to attic accommodation.
- 6.3 The Heritage Statement acknowledges the impact of the internal works on the building's heritage significance, in particular by reason of removal of a section of a ceiling beam. However, given the other internal alterations that have taken place over the years the changes proposed are judged to be of relatively minor importance. Moreover, these works do not detract significantly from the room's historic appearance and allows the original structural form of the building to be seen. Whilst the significance of heritage assets require appropriate respect, that has to be balanced with the evolving needs of building users. In this instance, the new staircase would exit centrally at first floor providing for a more efficient room layout for residential use, reflecting the expectations for modern-day living and providing for a more viable use of the building. Whilst one of the pre-existing ceiling beams has been truncated as a result of the works, the original ceiling beam arrangement to the ground floor room remains evident.

- The previous attic works and the insertion of the staircase leading to the attic from the first floor post-date the improvement grant works carried out in 1978. These works were a 'diy' arrangement, rather than a professional installation. The works now carried out would provide appropriate levels of insulation and safe means of access and escape from the attic room. As such, they were solely in the interests of securing the continuing productive and viable use of the property as a dwelling. There are no impacts arising from the works for the heritage significance of the building.
- 6.5 Clearly, the structural integrity of the listed building is important to the building's continuing use and conservation. It is confirmed that the works have had no impact on the structural integrity of the building.
- 6.6 The works are wholly internal and, as such, have no implications for or impact upon the designated conservation area.

7 CONCLUSION

- 7.1 It has been demonstrated that the internal works would have a negligible impact on the heritage significance of the building and, as such, would be in accord with relevant local and national planning policy.
- 7.2 For the reasons set out above, the Council is respectfully invited to grant listed building consent for the internal works.