







# TECHNICAL REPORT

GROUND INVESTIGATION FOR NEW CAR PARK BAE SYSTEMS SAMLESBURY LANCASHIRE FOR BAE SYSTEMS PLC

REPORT NO. 5900 AUGUST 2014



SUB SURFACE NORTH WEST LIMITED 3 Peel Street Preston Lancashire PR2 2QS Tel: (01772) 561135 Fax: (01772) 204907 Email: preston@subsurface.co.uk



#### **CONTENTS**

1. INTRODUCTION

- 1.1 Site Location and Description
- 1.2 Proposed Development and Purpose of the Ground Investigation

2. INVESTIGATION

- 2.1 Investigation Details2.2 Sub Surface Detail
- 3. SAMPLING AND TESTING
- 3.1 Sampling
- 3.2 Field Testing
- 3.3 Laboratory Testing
- 4. APPRAISAL AND RECOMMENDATIONS
- 4.1 Comments on the Profile
- 4.2 Excavations and Groundwater
- 4.3 Buried Concrete
- 4.4 Car Park
- 4.5 Contamination Considerations
- 4.6 General

APPENDICES

Insitu Test results Laboratory Test results Contamination Analysis results Trial Pit Record sheets Figures

#### <u>GROUND INVESTIGATION FOR NEW CAR PARK, BAE SYSTEMS,</u> <u>SAMLESBURY, LANCASHIRE</u>

#### **CLIENT: BAE SYSTEMS PLC**

#### ENGINEER: TRP CONSULTING

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

This report has been prepared in accordance with emailed instructions, dated 23<sup>rd</sup> May 2014, from the Engineer on behalf of the Client.

The brief was set out in our estimate, ref. E9741 and dated 10<sup>th</sup> May 2014, with amendments as the investigation proceeded and includes:

- 6 No. trial pits
- 6 No. in-situ California Bearing Ratio tests
- 2 No. in-situ soakaway tests
- Geotechnical laboratory testing
- Contamination analysis
- Provision of an interpretative report on the above

#### 1.1 Site Location and Description

The site is located at the Samlesbury Aerodrome, BAE Systems, Samlesbury, Lancashire as indicated on Figure 1. The approximate National Grid Reference of the centre of the site is 363358,431420.

As shown on Figure 2, the rectangular site is an extension of an existing car park located in the north east of the BAE Systems site and largely comprises a landscaped area with some surrounding tree screens.

#### **1.2** Proposed Development and Purpose of the Ground Investigation

We understand that it is proposed to extend the existing car park.

The purpose of the investigation was to obtain an indication of the ground conditions, at the positions of the exploratory holes, to assess the likelihood of a general pattern of strata being present below the site and obtain parameters for the construction of the new car park.

In addition a contamination assessment was required in order to determine necessary precautions and/or remedial measures required for the proposed development and to ascertain the need for any further sampling and analysis.

#### 2. INVESTIGATION

#### 2.1 Investigation Details

Four mechanically excavated trial pits and two hand dug trial pits were taken out at the positions determined and set out by the Engineer, as shown on Figure 2. The trial pits were excavated to depths of between 1.00m and 2.00m, representative samples were taken and the materials were logged in accordance with BS. EN. 14688 and 14689: 2002-2004. The resulting Trial Pit Records are appended.

The trial pits were backfilled with arisings on completion by the excavator and it is therefore likely that loose or soft pockets will still remain.

#### 2.2 Sub Surface Detail

Details of the strata encountered in the ground investigation are given on the appended Trial Pit Records. The trial pits largely encountered cohesive made ground comprising slightly gravelly, slightly sandy clay with occasional granular layers to depths of between 0.45m and 1.20m underlain by cohesive drift deposits.

#### 2.2.1 Made Ground

The trial pits found grass overlying generally brown to dark brown clay to depths of between 0.25m and 0.50m.

In TP7 and TP8 the clay generally became dark grey and dark brown with increasing depth. In addition, TP8 found a soft, dark grey, gravelly clay with some plant remains and an organic odour from 1.00m to 1.20m.

In TP9 a dark brown, dark grey and dark orange brown, very ashy, gravely sand layer was encountered from 0.50m to 0.60m overlying grey and orange brown mottled clay to 0.75m.

TP10 found a dark grey and dark brown, very sandy slag, stone and brick gravel from 0.30m to 0.40m, overlying grey brown clay.

In TP11 a dark brown, ashy, very gravelly, medium to coarse sand was found from 0.20m to 0.60m, overlying a very soft, dark greyish brown clay encountered to the termination of the hole at 1.00m.

In TP12 the initial dark brown clay became firm, grey brown and occasional orange brown from 0.40m where a low cobble content of stone was found.

Gravel sized fragments within the made ground generally comprised fine to coarse stone, brick, slag and clinker.

#### 2.2.2 Drift Deposits

Underlying the made ground at 0.45m, TP7 found a grey brown and brown, gravelly, slightly sandy, silty clay with lenses of sand to 0.80m overlying a multi-coloured, very gravelly, sandy clay. From 1.00m was found a stiff, high strength, brown and occasional light grey mottled, slightly gravelly, slightly sandy, silty clay.

At 1.00m, TP8 encountered a stiff, high strength, brown, gravelly, slightly sandy, silty clay.

In TP9 a firm, medium strength, light orange brown and light grey brown, gravelly, sandy, silty clay was found to 1.00m, becoming stiff, high strength, multi-coloured and very gravelly from 1.20m and brown and gravelly from 1.50m.

Underlying the made ground form 0.90m to 1.00m, TP10 found a layer of fibrous peat over a soft, low strength, grey brown and dark grey mottled, slightly gravelly, slightly sandy, silty clay with some plant remains. The clay became stiff, high strength brown and occasional light grey mottled at 1.30m depth, and very gravelly and very sandy at 1.90m.

From 0.90m depth, TP12 encountered a soft brown and grey mottled, slightly gravelly, slightly sandy, slity clay with some sand lenses.

Gravel within the natural strata generally comprised subangular to rounded, fine to coarse quartz, sandstone and siltstone. In addition, a low to medium cobble content of quartz was found within TP7, TP8, TP9 and TP10.

#### 2.2.4 Groundwater

Groundwater seepages were recorded at 1.50m and 1.80m in TP10. No groundwater was encountered in the remainder of the exploratory holes although it should be noted that they were only left open for a short period of time. Also groundwater levels and rates of inflow may be subject to seasonal and/ or climatic variations.

#### 3. SAMPLING AND TESTING

#### 3.1 Sampling

Small disturbed and bulk disturbed samples were obtained for the strata encountered and were subjected to careful examination and hand penetrometer / hand shear vane tests, where appropriate.

The samples will be retained for a period of one month after the issue of this report, for reference purposes, and then disposed of unless otherwise instructed.

#### 3.2 Field Testing

Twelve hand shear vane were undertaken in the trial pits and the results are given on the appended Trial Pit Records.

Six in-situ California Bearing Ratio (CBR) tests were carried out at the positions shown on Figure 2 at depths of between 0.20m and 0.70m. The depths correspond to the anticipated formation level for the proposed car park. The results of the in-situ CBR tests are appended.

On completion of TP7 and TP10 soakaway tests were undertaken. Water was added to the pits from a bowser and water levels were subsequently monitored over a period of between 240 and 300 minutes. Water levels were found to have fallen insufficiently to determine the Soil Infiltration Rate and hence soakaways are not feasible on this site. Details of the soakaway tests are appended.

#### 3.3 Laboratory Testing

The following laboratory tests were carried out in accordance with BS.1377: 1990, where applicable, and the results are appended.

- Moisture content, plastic limit and liquid limit tests
- Soluble sulphate content and pH value tests

Contamination analyses have been performed on eleven soil samples to determine: pH and concentrations of sulphate, sulphide, cyanide, arsenic, boron (soluble), cadmium, chromium, hexavalent chromium, copper, lead, mercury, nickel, selenium, zinc, speciated total petroleum hydrocarbons (TPHs), the speciated polynuclear aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) suite, the benzene/ ethylbenzene/ toluene/ xylene (BTEX) suite and phenols.

The results of the above analyses are appended.

#### 4. APPRAISAL AND RECOMMENDATIONS

#### 4.1 Comments on the Profile

At the outset it should be appreciated that only a small proportion of the area to be developed has been sampled and consequently the recommendations made and opinions expressed in this report can only be applied to such conditions as were encountered in the exploratory holes.

In our opinion the exploratory holes indicate a nature and degree of similarity to the extent that we consider them likely to be representative of the natural ground conditions, although clearly no guarantee can be given.

Due to the nature of Made Ground localised variations in thickness and composition should be anticipated and hence interpolation or extrapolation from the exploratory holes to adjoining areas should only be undertaken with caution.

Details of the findings of the investigation are given on the appended exploratory hole records and a summary of the ground conditions is given in Section 2.2.

#### 4.2 Excavations and Groundwater

In our opinion, there should be no particular difficulties in excavating the strata indicated in the exploratory holes utilising an appropriate and suitably sized mechanical excavator.

It is recommended that all excavations to greater than 1.20m depth, or for shallower excavations where groundwater is encountered above this level, are closely supported, especially where man entry is required. Alternatively, where space permits, the excavations might be battered back to an appropriate angle.

Groundwater seepages were recorded in TP10 at depths of 1.50m and 1.80m. The remaining trial pits did not encounter groundwater entries, although it should be noted that they were only left open for a relatively short period of time.

It should be noted that groundwater levels and rates of inflow may be subject to seasonal and/ or climatic variations.

Should groundwater seepages occur and water accumulate in the excavation it should be able to be removed by pumping from a filtered sump.

Soakaway tests performed in TP7 and TP10 showed that for the purposes of soakaway design the strata tested should be classed as impermeable as a negligible amount of infiltration was measured.

#### 4.3 Buried Concrete

For the design of buried concrete the recommendations given in Building Research Establishment (BRE) Special Digest 1 (September 2005 revision), "Concrete in Aggressive Ground", should be followed.

Determination of pH on the soil samples gave values in the range of 6.2 to 7.7.

Soluble sulphate concentrations were also determined for the soil samples and the results ranged from < 0.1 to 0.11 g/l.

The results indicate that the Design Sulphate Class for the site should be DS-1.

Our knowledge of the site and ground conditions indicates that the site is "brownfield" with potentially mobile groundwater.

Consequently, in accordance with the Design Sulphate Class for the site together with the site and groundwater conditions an Aggressive Chemical Environment for Concrete (ACEC) classification of AC-2z should be used as detailed on the appended extract.

#### 4.4 Car Park

Insitu California Bearing Ratio (CBR) tests have been undertaken on soils at six positions shown on Figure 2 and the results of the tests are appended. A summary of the insitu CBR test results is as follows:

TABLE 1	
---------	--

Expl. Hole	Depth	Moisture Content	CBR Value	Strata
NO.	(m)	(%)	(%)	
CBR7	0.20	19	2.5	MADE GROUND: brown and grey mottled slightly gravelly slightly sandy silty clay
CBR8	0.30	24	2.6	MADE GROUND: dark brown and light brown slightly gravelly slightly sandy silty clay
CBR9	0.50	20	6.5	MADE GROUND: brown ashy gravelly sandy silty clay.
CBR10	0.40	16	3.2	MADE GROUND: brown and grey brown slightly gravelly slightly sandy silty clay
CBR11	0.70	33	0.27	MADE GROUND: Dark brown silty clay
CBR12	0.40	20	3.3	MADE GROUND: grey brown and orange brown slightly gravelly slightly sandy silty clay

5900 - SAMLESBURY

It should be noted that the above values are moisture dependent and it is possible that the CBR values would reduce with increased moisture content; this being particularly so for cohesive strata.

6

Given the above it would be advisable to design on a CBR value of 2.5% for the proposed car park. If there is to be a delay before construction, to prevent water softening, loosening and disturbance, the formation strata should not be exposed.

In CBR11, a very low CBR value of 0.27% was determined for the made ground found at 0.70m depth, which is below the minimum suggested design CBR of 2.5%. This was due to the made ground in this area being very soft and with a high moisture content. In our opinion, such strata are considered unsuitable for supporting pavement foundations and would need permanent improvement. The options for this would include replacement of the soft horizons or soil treatment as detailed in the Department Of Transport's Interim Advice Note 73/06 Revision 1 (2009): Design Guidance For Road Pavement Foundations (Draft HD24) – Chapter 5 (paragraphs 5.16 to 5.21).

In TP10 a layer of fibrous peat was encountered between 0.90m and 1.00m and we would recommend that this is removed from beneath the car park area, which could otherwise produce excessive long term settlement.

It should be noted that the location of TP10 and CBR11/TP11 was to the east of the car park footprint.

#### 4.5 Contamination Considerations

At the outset it should be noted that this contamination investigation is a baseline survey in order to provide an initial risk assessment on the level of contamination present. Based upon the findings of this investigation additional sampling, analysis and assessment may be required.

It should be appreciated that the suite of determinants consist of a range of common contaminants and the analysis is restricted to these in the absence of historical evidence of the source of the Made Ground. However, the absence of other specific contaminants cannot be guaranteed.

#### 4.5.1 Assessment

In order to provide an assessment of the presence of contamination eleven soil samples have been analysed for a suite of determinants and the results are appended.

The Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs (DEFRA) and the Environment Agency (EA) withdrew the soil guideline values (SGVs) and replaced the old CLR 10 document covering derivation of soil guideline values in 2008. This had the effect that any values derived using the old CLR 10 assumptions and parameters were no longer valid. DEFRA and EA published new soil guideline values (SGVs) for mercury, selenium, benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene and xylene on 31 March 2009, arsenic and nickel on 12 May 2009 and cadmium and phenols in June 2009. In July 2009 a substantial number of Generic Assessment Criteria (GACs) were published by Land Quality Management (LQM), in conjunction with the Chartered Institute of Environmental Health. Contaminated Land: Applications in Real Environments (CL:AIRE) guideline values (SGVs, GACs and CL:AIRE) vary dependent upon the

5900 - SAMLESBURY

land use; allotment and residential use being the most sensitive and commercial/ industrial use being the least sensitive.

For the purposes of assessment, as the proposed development is for a car park, contamination analyses have been compared with the guideline values for a standard land use of commercial and industrial.

The contamination analysis determined no elevated levels of contaminants when compared with the guideline values for a standard land use of commercial and industrial. Guideline values for the assessment can be supplied directly to the Regulator, if requested.

In addition to the above, an assessment of risk to personnel who will come into contact with on-site materials throughout the site has been undertaken.

#### 4.5.2 Conclusions and Recommendations

Section 78a(2) of the Environmental Protection Act: 1990 as amended by the Contaminated Land (England) (Amendment) regulations 2012, and Section 86 of the Water Act 2003, defines CONTAMINATED LAND for the purposes of Part IIA as:

"any land which appears to the LOCAL AUTHORITY in whose area it is situated to be in such a condition, by reason of substances in, on or under the land, that:

- (a) SIGNIFICANT HARM is being caused or there is a SIGNIFICANT POSSIBILITY of such harm being caused; or
- (b) SIGNIFICANT POLLUTION OF CONTROLLED WATERS is being, or is likely to be, caused"

Before a LOCAL AUTHORITY can make the judgement that land appears to be CONTAMINATED LAND on the basis that SIGNIFICANT HARM is being caused, or that there is a SIGNIFICANT POSSIBILITY of such harm being caused, the LOCAL AUTHORITY must identify a SIGNIFICANT POLLUTANT LINKAGE. This means that each of the following has to be identified:

- (a) a CONTAMINANT;
- (b) a relevant RECEPTOR (defined as living organisms, ecological systems, controlled waters or property); and
- (c) a PATHWAY by means of which either:
  - (i) the CONTAMINANT is causing SIGNIFICANT HARM to that RECEPTOR, or
  - (ii) there is a SIGNIFICANT POSSIBILITY of such harm being caused by that CONTAMINANT to that RECEPTOR

It should be noted that the above words in capitals have a legal definition within the legislation.

Without a clear identification of all three elements of the pollutant linkage, land cannot be identified as contaminated under the regime.

The National Planning Policy Framework states that, "after remediation, as a minimum, land should not be capable of being determined as contaminated land under Part IIA of the Environmental Protection Act 1990". Therefore, the general principles detailed above apply to this assessment.

Our assessment, based on the results of the soil samples only, indicates that there are no contaminants requiring remediation and/or precautions to be taken for the proposed development.

Contaminated materials should, where possible, be retained on site. However, it should be appreciated that contaminated material left on site is the legal responsibility of the landowner, unless the person who created the contamination can be identified.

Should it be necessary to remove on-site materials from the site, classification of the waste should be undertaken before submitting analysis to appropriate waste carriers and/ or waste disposal site operators to determine the most appropriate tip to use and the associated costs.

SGVs, GACs and CL:AIRE assume long term contact with contamination and assess chronic health risk. The risk of short term acute exposure to site personnel is dealt with in the remit of the Health and Safety Executive under the Health and Safety at Work Act: 1974 and Regulations made under the Act, including the Control of Substances Hazardous to Health (COSHH) Regulations. The levels of contamination and risk to site personnel should be considered under the Construction Design and Management (CDM) Regulations at the planning stage and in the development of the designers and contractors Health and Safety Plans and Method Statements. The risk of contact with on-site soils should be minimised and the following precautions should be taken as a minimum requirement.

Site personnel involved in earthworks and excavations should wear gloves, overalls, and boots and smoking should be prohibited.

#### 4.6 General

In the preparation of this report no consideration has been given to

- the historical, geological, mining and/ or environmental setting of the site
- the possible presence of ground gas

as this was outside our brief.

We trust that this report fulfils your present requirements but if you have any queries or we can be of further assistance please contact the undersigned or Miss Anna Marsden at our Preston office.

SUB SURFACE NORTH WEST LIMITED REPORT No. 5900 AUGUST 2014

T Plum B.Sc.(Hons.), M.Sc. Geoenvironmental Engineer For and on behalf of Sub Surface Consultants Limited

C. A. Marsden B.Sc.(Hons.), C.Eng., M.I.C.E. Director For and on behalf of Sub Surface Consultants Limited.

5900 - SAMLESBURY

#### **INSITU TEST RESULTS**

	SUB SU SITE INVESTIO 3 Peel Street, F	RFACE GATION AND SPECIAL Preston, PR2 2QS. Tel.	IST GEOTECHN (01772) 561135	ICAL CONSULT Fax (01772) 204	ANTS 907	In - Situ Test Res						
Site:	NEW CAR F	PARK, BAE SYSTE	MS, SAMLES	BURY						Job	Number 5900	
Client:	BAE SYSTE	MS PLC								She	et:	
Engineer:	TRP CONSI	JLTING									1/6	
		IN - S	ITU CALIF	ORNIA B	EARIN	G RATI	0					
				_		_						
Date:	03/07/14	Positi	on: CBR 7	Sa	mple No:	219			Dep	oth: 0.2	20m	
Descriptio	on:											
MADE GROUND: brown and grey mottled slightly gravelly slightly sandy clay												
tration unger nm	Force or	n Plunger	4.00	)								
bene of Pl	load gauge	kN								15%		
0.0	0.0	0.00										
0.5	30.0	0.12								<u>ک</u> ر		
1.0	45.0	0.18							$A \neq$	13%		
1.5	57.0	0.23	0.00									
2.0	68.0	0.27	3.00	)				$\overline{X}$				
2.5	81.0	0.32					$\downarrow$	$\downarrow$		11%		
3.0	89.0 98.0	0.36					XX					
4.0	105.0	0.33	Ĵ					+				
4.5	112.0	0.45	L C									
5.0	119.0	0.48	ge									
5.5	126.0	0.50	<u></u> 2.00	)		AA	14		$ \rightarrow 1 $			
6.0	131.0	0.52	d									
6.5	137.0	0.55	Ō				$\not\models$	$\left\{ \right\}$		-7%		
7.0	140.0	0.59	L Ce									
	10110	0.00	Бo		XIXX							
					XXA	<u>A</u>			$\square$	5%		
RESULT	<u>S</u> Moistu	re Content = <b>19%</b>	1.00									
Pene-			1.00									
tration	Force kN	Standard CBI	<							3%		
(mm)		FOICE KN 70								$\square$		
2.5	0.32	<b>13.2</b> 2.5	0% 1%							_		
5.0	0.40	20.0 2.4	+ /0							1%		
In - Situ	CBR value	2.5%	0.00	)						<u> </u>		
		2.070		0.0 1.0 2.0 3.0 4.0 5.0 Penetration of plunger (					6.0 <b>mm)</b>	7.0	8.0	
			L									
comments:				Opera	itor	Cł	necked		Approved			
			T.M	1								



	SUB SU SITE INVESTIO 3 Peel Street, F	RFACE GATION AND SPECIALIST O Preston, PR2 2QS. Tel. (0177	GEOTECHNI 2) 561135	ICAL CONSL Fax (01772) :	JLTANTS 204907	3	In - Situ Test Results							
Site:	NEW CAR F	PARK, BAE SYSTEMS,	SAMLES	BURY							Jo	b Number 5900		
Client:	BAE SYSTE	MS PLC									Sh	eet:		
Engineer:	TRP CONSI	JLTING										3/6		
		IN - SITU	<b>J CALIF</b>		BEA	RING	G RA	ΤΙΟ						
Date:	03/07/14	Position: (	CBR 9		Sample	e No:	218			De	epth: 0.	50m		
Descriptio	on:													
MADE GROUND: Brown ashy gravelly sandy silty clay														
ation ger	Force or	n Plunger	4.00	)										
netra Plun mm	I										15%			
Pei of	load gauge	kN												
0.0	0.0	0.00									$\vdash$			
0.5	93.0	0.37									13%	1		
1.0	126.0	0.50								$\mathbb{X}$				
1.5	136.0	0.63	3.00	)										
2.5	213.0	0.85									110/			
3.0	241.0	0.97							$\frac{1}{1}$	$\neq$				
3.5	263.0	1.05	<b></b>						X					
4.0	285.0	1.14	(K)											
4.5	306.0	1.23	er					$\langle \rangle \langle$	$\downarrow$		9%			
5.0	325.0	1.30	bu											
5.5 6.0	342.0	1.37	<u></u> 2.00	)		$ \downarrow $								
6.5	375.0	1.50	L L								7%			
7.0	390.0	1.56	0 0			$V \Lambda$								
7.5	403.0	1.61	) LC		//	¥A	4							
			Ľ		- X//									
	S Moistu	re Content = <b>20%</b>			-    //						5%			
	<u>-</u>		1.00	) 📕 📈		$\mathbb{Z}$			1					
Pene-		Standard CBR												
tration	Force kN	Force kN %									3%			
(mm) 25	0.85	13.2 6.5%												
5.0	1.30	<b>20.0</b> 6.5%												
							_				1%			
	r				4	++								
In - Situ	CBR value	6.5%	0.00	0.0 1.	0 2 <b>Pe</b>	.0 netr	3.0 ation	4.0 of pl	5.0	6.0 (mm)	7.0	8.0		
								- 1		()				
					orete -			Oh a -'	<u></u>		A ====	und .		
comments:					erator E M		Спескеа				Approved			
L				1										

	SUB SU SITE INVESTIO 3 Peel Street, F	RFACE GATION AND SF Preston, PR2 2Q3	ECIALIST G S. Tel. (0177	EOTECHNI 2) 561135 F	NTS 07		In - Situ Test Result									
Site:	NEW CAR F	PARK, BAE S	YSTEMS,	SAMLES	BURY								J	ob Number 5900		
Client:	BAE SYSTE	MS PLC											S	Sheet:		
Engineer:	TRP CONSI													4/6		
		1	I - SITU			BE		IG R		)						
				UALI			-/ \  \									
Date:	03/07/14		Position: (	CBR 10		Sar	nple No	): 205				D	epth: (	).40m		
Descriptio	iption:															
MADE GROUND: brown and grey brown slightly gravelly slightly sandy silty clay																
tion ger	Force on Plunger 4.00															
etra: lunç mm		i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i											159			
of F	load gauge	kN												<u>or</u>		
0.0	0.0	0.00														
0.5	41.0	0.16														
1.0	56.5	0.23												<u>6</u>		
1.5	72.0	0.29										1/				
2.0	89.0	0.36		3.00												
2.5	103.0	0.41											119	6		
3.0	119.0	0.48								$\bigvee$		1 /	$ \mathcal{T} $			
3.5	129.0	0.52		Î					-/	$\vdash$	$A \rightarrow$					
4.0	140.0	0.56		E					//	1/						
5.0	143.3	0.64		Jer					//	/				<u>6</u>		
5.5	167.0	0.67						/X	Χ./	/ /						
6.0	175.5	0.70						VX	//	$\left[ \right]$						
6.5	182.0	0.73		uo			//	$V \times$	$\swarrow$				79	6		
7.0	190.0	0.76		e.				$\mathbb{V}\mathbb{X}$								
7.5	194.0	0.78		oro		-	HH/	$\vee$								
				Ľ									1 L			
RESULT	S Moistu	re Content =	16%										5%	6		
				1.00		$\mathbb{N}$										
Pene-		Standard	CBR			$\mathbb{N}//$								_		
tration	Force kN	Force kN	%			H/	$\square$		+				3%	6 <b>-</b>		
(mm) 25	0.41	13.2	3.1%													
5.0	0.64	20.0	3.2%			$\overline{/}$										
		-	<b>.</b>					$\vdash$		<u> </u>			19	6		
					$\vdash$		++					$ \top$				
In - Situ	CBR value	3.2%	0.00													
		/		0.0 1.0 2.0 3.0 4.0 5.0 6.0				7.0	8.0							
							rene	ratio	n of	più	inger	(mm)				
comments:				Operator			Checked				Approved					
						T.M										









#### LABORATORY TEST RESULTS



SUB SURFACE SITE INVESTIGATION, GEOTECHNICAL AND ENVIRONMENTAL CONSULTANTS 3 Peel Street, Preston, PR2 2QS. Tel. (01772) 561135 Fax (01772) 204907

: NEW CAR PARK, BAE SAMLESBURY, LANCASHIRE Site

Client : BAE SYSTEMS PLC

Engineer: TRP CONSULTING

Laboratory Test Results

Job Number

5900

Sheet

1/1

#### DETERMINATION OF MOISTURE CONTENT, LIQUID LIMIT AND PLASTIC LIMIT AND DERIVATION OF PLASTICITY AND LIQUIDITY INDEX

Barahala/	Danéh		Natural	Sample 425µm	Passing Sieve	Liquid	Plastic	Plasticity	Linuiditu	Crown	
Trial Pit	(m)	Sample	Content %	Percentage %	Moisture Content %	Limit %	Limit %	Index %	Index	Symbol	Laboratory Description
TP10	1.00	D	24	79	30	33	16	17	0.82	CL	Grey brown and dark grey mottled slightly gravelly slightly sandy silty CLAY. Gravel is subangular to rounded fine to coarse sandstone and quartz
TP12	0.90	D	25	89	28	36	18	18	0.56	CI	Brown and grey mottled slightly gravelly slightly sandy silty CLAY. Gravel is subangular to rounded fine to medium sandstone and siltstone
TP7	0.50	D	18	83	22	28	16	12	0.50	CL	Grey brown and orange brown mottled gravelly slightly sandy silty CLAY. Gravel is subangular to rounded fine to coarse quartz, sandstone and siltstone
TP8	1.30	D	21	85	25	36	19	17	0.35	CI	Brown gravelly slightly sandy silty CLAY. Gravel is subangular to rounded fine to coarse quartz, siltstone and sandstone
TP9	0.75	В	22	94	23	33	18	15	0.33	CL	Brown,orange brown and light grey brown gravelly sandy silty CLAY. Gravel is subangular to rounded fine to coarse sandstone, quartz and siltstone
Method	of Prepara	ition : B	S 1377:PAF	RT 1:1990:7	.4 Prepara	tion of samp	les for clas	sification to	ests BS 13	377:PART	2:1990:4.2 & 5.2 Sample preparations
Method	of Test	: B th	S 1377:PAF e plastic lin	RT 2:1990:3 nit and plast	Determina icity index	ition of mois	ture conter	nt 1990:4 E	Determinati	on of the	liquid limit BS 1377:PART 2:1990:5 Determination of
Remarks	5	:									

#### SUB SURFACE Laboratory Test Results SITE INVESTIGATION. GEOTECHNICAL AND ENVIRONMENTAL CONSULTANTS 3 Peel Street, Preston, PR2 2QS. Tel. (01772) 561135 Fax (01772) 204907 Job Number Site : NEW CAR PARK, BAE SAMLESBURY, LANCASHIRE 5900 : BAE SYSTEMS PLC Client Sheet Engineer: TRP CONSULTING 1/1 DETERMINATION OF THE pH VALUE AND THE SULPHATE CONTENT OF SOIL AND GROUNDWATER **Concentration of Soluble Sulphate** Percentage of sample passing 2mm Sieve % Depth (m) Groundwater Borehole Trial Pit Classification Sample pН Laboratory Description Total S03 S04 in 2:1 g / water:soil g /l % MADE GROUND: dark grey and dark brown very sandy gravel sized fragments of fine to coarse slag, stone and brick **TP10** 0.30 D 0.02 6.2 DS-1 MADE GROUND: brown, grey brown and grey slightly gravelly slightly sandy clay. Gravel sized fragments are fine to coarse stone and brick TP10 0.60 В 0.02 6.6 DS-1 MADE GROUND: dark brown ashy very gravelly medium to coarse sand. Gravel sized fragments are fine to coarse brick, TP11 0.20 D 0.02 6.3 DS-1 stone and slag MADE GROUND: dark grey brown slightly brown slightly gravelly slightly sandy silty clay. Gravel sized fragments are fine to coarse brick and stone **TP11** 0.60 DS-1 D 0.11 6.3 MADE GROUND: grey brown and occasional orangish brown slightly gravelly slightly sandy silty clay. Gravel sized fragments are fine to coarse stone **TP12** DS-1 0 4 0 D < 0.0169 Grey brown and orange brown mottled gravelly slightly sandy silty CLAY. Gravel is subangular to rounded fine to TP7 0.50 D 0.01 77 DS-1 coarse quartz, sandstone and siltstone Multi coloured very gravelly sandy silty CLAY. Gravel is subangular to rounded fine to coarse quartz, siltstone and sandstone TP7 0.80 В <0.01 7.7 DS-1 MADE GROUND: dark brown, grey brown and occasional brown and grey mottled slightly gravelly slightly sandy silty clay. Gravel sized fragments are fine to coarse stone, brick TP8 0.30 D <0.01 7.0 DS-1 and clinker MADE GROUND: dark brown and dark grey slightly gravelly slightly sandy silty clay. Gravel sized fragments are fine to 0 70 0.02 DS-1 TP8 B 62 coarse stone and brick MADE GROUND: dark brown, dark grey and dark orange brown very ashy gravelly sand. Gravel sized fragments are fine to coarse slag and stone TP9 0.50 D < 0.01 6.4 DS-1

Method of Preparation : BS 1377:PART 1:1990:7.5 Preparation of soil for chemical tests BS 1377:PART 3:1990:5.2, 5.3, 5.4 & 9.4

Method of Test

: Laboratory in-house methods based on BS1377: Part 3 for contents of water soluble sulphate, total sulphate and pH.

Remarks

: Classification relates to Design Sulphate Class of BRE Special Digest 1 (2005)

#### SUB SURFACE

SITE INVESTIGATION AND SPECIALIST GEOTECHNICAL CONSULTANTS

3 Peel Street, Preston, PR2 2QS. Tel. (01772) 561135 Fax (01772) 204907

#### AGGRESSIVE CHEMICAL ENVIRONMENT FOR CONCRETE (ACEC) SITE CLASSIFICATION

Sulfate				Groundwater		
Design Sulfate Class for Location	2:1 water/soil extract <sup>b</sup>	Groundwater	Total potential sulfate <sup>c</sup>	Static water	Mobile water	ACEC Class for location
1	2 (SO₄ mg/l)	3 (SO₄ mg/l)	4 (SO <sub>4</sub> %)	5 (pH)	6 (pH)	7
DS-1	<500	<400	<0.24	≥2.5		AC-1s
					>5.5d 2.5 - 5.5	AC-1d AC-2z
DS-2	500 - 1500	400 - 1400	0.24 - 0.6	>3.5		AC-1s
					>5.5	AC-2z
				2.5-3.5		AC-2s
					2.5 - 5.5	AC-3z
DS-3	1600-3000	1500-3000	0.7 - 1.2	>3.5		AC-2s
					>5.5	AC-3
				2.5 - 3.5		AC-3s
					2.5 - 5.5	AC-4
DS-4	3100 - 6000	3100 - 6000	1.3 - 2.4	>3.5		AC-3s
					>5.5	AC-4
				2.5 - 3.5		AC-4s
					2.5 - 5.5	AC-5
DS-5	>6000	>6000	>2.4	>3.5		AC-4s
				2.5 - 3.5	≥2.5	AC-5

Notes

a Applies to locations on sites that comprise either undisturbed ground that is in its natural state (ie not brownfield - Table C2) or clean fill derived from such ground

b The limits of Design Sulfate Classes based on 2:1 water/soil extracts have been lowered relative to previous Digests (Box C7).

c Applies only to locations where concrete will be exposed to sulfate ions (SO4) which may result from the oxidation of sulfides (eg pyrite) following ground disturbance (Appendix A1 and Box C8).

d For flowing water that is potentially aggressive to concrete owing to high purity or an aggressive carbon dioxide level greater than 15mg/l (Section C2.2.3), increase the ACEC Class to AC-22.

#### Explanation of suffix symbols to ACEC Class

Suffix 's' indicates that the water has been classified as static

• Concrete placed in ACEC Classes that included the suffix 'z' primarily have to resist acid conditions and may be made with any of the cements or combinations listed in Table D2 on page 42.

Table C1 Aggressive Chemical Environment for Concrrete (ACEC) classification for brownfield locations<sup>a</sup>

Sulfate			, <i>, , ,</i>			Groundwater		
Design Sulfate Class for Location	2:1 water/soil	extract <sup>b</sup>	Groundwater		Total potential sulfate <sup>c</sup>	Static water	Mobile water	ACEC Class for location
1	2 (SO <sub>4</sub> mg/l)	3 (Mg mg/l)	4 (SO₄ mg/l)	5 (Mg mg/l)	6 (SO <sub>4</sub> %)	7 (pH) <sup>d</sup>	8 (pH) <sup>d</sup>	9
DS-1	<500		<400		<0.24	≥2.5		AC-1s
							>6.5 <sup>d</sup>	AC-1
							5.5 - 6.5	AC-2z
							4.5 - 5.5	AC-3z
							2.5 - 4.5	AC-4z
DS-2	500 - 1500		400 - 1400		0.24 - 0.6	>5.5		AC-1s
							>6.5	AC-2
						2.5 - 5.5		AC-2s
							5.5 - 6.5	AC-3z
							4.5 - 5.5	AC-4z
							2.5 - 5.5	AC-5z
DS-3	1600 - 3000		1500 - 3000		0.7 - 1.2	>5.5		AC-2s
							>6.5	AC-3
						2.5 - 5.5		AC-3s
							5.5 - 6.5	AC-4
							2.5 - 5.5	AC-5
DS-4	3100 - 6000	≤1200	3100 - 6000	≤1000	1.3 - 2.4	>5.5		AC-3s
							>6.5	AC-4
						25-55		AC-4s
							2.5 - 6.5	AC-5
DS-4m	3100 - 6000	>1200 <sup>e</sup>	3100 - 6000	>1000 <sup>e</sup>	1.3 - 2.4	>5.5		AC-3s
-					-		>6.5	AC-4m
						25-55	2010	AC-4ms
						2.0 0.0	25-65	AC-5m
DS-5	>6000	≤1200	>6000	≤1000	>2 4	>5.5	2.0 0.0	AC-4s
200		-1200	20000	-1000	26.1	25-55	≤1000	AC-5
DS-5m	>6000	>1200 <sup>e</sup>	>6000	>1000 <sup>e</sup>	>2 4	>5.5	=1000	AC-4ms
20011			20000	>1000	26.1	25-55	>2 5	AC-5m
Notos						2.5 5.5	-2.0	7.0 011

a Brownfield sites are those sites, or parts of sites, that might contain chemical residues produced by or associated with industrial production (Section C5.1.3).

b The limits of Design Sulfate Classes based on 2:1 water/soil extracts have been lowered relative to previous Digests (Box C7).

c Applies only to locations where concrete will be exposed to sulfate ions (SO4) which may result from the oxidation of sulfides (eg pyrite) following ground disturbance (Appendix A1 and Box C8).

d An additional account is taken of hydrochloric and nitric acids by adjustment to sulfate content (Section C5.1.3).

e The limit on water-soluble magnesium does not apply to brackish groundwater (chloride content between 12 000mg/l and 17000 mg/l). This allows 'm' to be omitted from the relevant ACEC Classification. Seawater (chloride content about 18 000 mg/l) and stronger brines are not covered by this table.

#### Explanation of suffix symbols to ACEC Class

Suffix 's' indicates that the water has been classified as static.

• Concrete placed in ACEC Classes that included the suffix 'z' primarily have to resist acid conditions and may be made with any of the cements or combinations listed in Table D2 on page 42.

• Suffix 'm' relates to the higher levels of magnesium in Design Sulfate Classes 4 and 5.



CONTAMINATION ANALYSIS RESULTS





Chemtest Ltd. Depot Road Newmarket CB8 0AL Tel: 01638 606070 Email: info@chemtest.co.uk

Report Number:	14-05531 Issue-1		
Initial Date of Issue:	17-Jul-14		
Client:	Sub Surface		
Client Address:	3 Peel Street Preston Lancashire PR2 2QS		
Contact(s):	Simon Gabbatt		
Client Reference:	5900 New Car Park, BAE Systems, Samlesb	ıry	
Quotation No.:		Date Received:	10-Jul-14
Order No.:	5900	Date Instructed:	09-Jul-14
No. of Samples:	16	Results Due:	17-Jul-14
Turnaround: (Weekdays)	7		
Date Approved:	17-Jul-14		
Approved By:	(CTD) and		
Details:	Keith Jones, Technical Manager		



## **Results Summary - Soil**

#### Report No.: 14-05531 Issue-1

Client: Sub Surface	Chemtest Sample ID.:			28286	28287	28288	28289	28290	28291	28292	28293	28294	28295	28296	
Quote:		Clien	t Sample	e Ref.:											
Order No.: 5900		Clier	nt Samp	le ID.:	TP7	TP7	TP7	TP8	TP8	TP8	TP9	TP9	TP10	TP10	TP10
			Sample	Type:	SOIL										
		1	Гор Dept	th (m):	0.30	0.50	0.80	0.05	0.30	0.70	0.10	0.50	0.05	0.30	0.60
		Bot	tom Dep	oth(m):											
		[	Date Sar	npled:	02-Jul-14										
Determinand	Accred.	SOP	Units	LOD											
Moisture	Ν	2030	%	0.02	17	14	8.2	30	16	21	23	17	22	16	20
рН	Μ	2010			8.3	7.7	7.7	6.6	7.0	6.2	6.9	6.4	6.1	6.2	6.6
Boron (Hot Water Soluble)	М	2120	mg/kg	0.4	0.48			1.4		0.49	0.61	< 0.40	0.67	0.55	
Sulphate (2:1 Water Soluble) as SO4	М	2120	g/L	0.01		0.010	< 0.010		< 0.010	0.023		< 0.010		0.015	0.020
Cyanide (Total)	М	2300	mg/kg	0.5	< 0.50			< 0.50		< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	
Sulphide (Easily Liberatable)	М	2325	mg/kg	0.5	8.6			2.6		2.5	1.9	2.3	1.5	2.8	
Sulphate (Total)	М	2430	%	0.01	0.061			0.093		0.051	0.073	0.13	0.071	0.066	
Arsenic	М	2450	mg/kg	2	10			10		15	11	32	8.7	14	
Cadmium	М	2450	mg/kg	0.1	0.22			0.23		0.15	0.21	0.20	0.17	0.24	
Chromium	М	2450	mg/kg	5	24			26		30	21	17	19	16	
Copper	М	2450	mg/kg	5	22			18		20	20	57	18	47	
Mercury	М	2450	mg/kg	0.1	< 0.10			< 0.10		0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	
Nickel	М	2450	mg/kg	5	29			23		28	25	40	21	34	
Lead	М	2450	mg/kg	5	31			36		37	31	52	33	30	
Selenium	М	2450	mg/kg	0.2	0.25			0.26		0.36	0.41	0.83	0.38	0.57	
Zinc	М	2450	mg/kg	5	41			38		41	36	27	29	27	
Chromium (Hexavalent)	Ν	2490	mg/kg	0.5	< 0.50			< 0.50		< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	
TPH >C6-C10	N	2670	mg/kg	1	< 1.0			< 1.0		< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	
TPH >C10-C21	Ν	2670	mg/kg	1	1.1			8.6		< 1.0	3.1	22	3.9	41	
TPH >C21-C40	Ν	2670	mg/kg	1	8.3			19		< 1.0	11	31	11	120	
Total TPH >C6-C40	Ν	2670	mg/kg	10	< 10			28		< 10	14	53	15	160	
Naphthalene	М	2700	mg/kg	0.1	< 0.10			< 0.10		< 0.10	< 0.10	0.30	< 0.10	0.41	
Acenaphthylene	М	2700	mg/kg	0.1	< 0.10			< 0.10		< 0.10	< 0.10	0.87	< 0.10	0.42	
Acenaphthene	Μ	2700	mg/kg	0.1	< 0.10			< 0.10		< 0.10	< 0.10	0.15	< 0.10	0.18	
Fluorene	Μ	2700	mg/kg	0.1	< 0.10			< 0.10		< 0.10	< 0.10	0.27	< 0.10	0.23	
Phenanthrene	М	2700	mg/kg	0.1	0.96			0.33		< 0.10	0.35	0.62	0.51	2.6	
Anthracene	М	2700	mg/kg	0.1	0.22			0.13		< 0.10	0.11	0.20	0.17	0.58	
Fluoranthene	М	2700	mg/kg	0.1	1.5			0.88		0.43	0.85	1.0	1.3	11	
Pyrene	М	2700	mg/kg	0.1	1.4			0.85		0.43	0.79	1.0	1.3	11	
Benzo[a]anthracene	М	2700	mg/kg	0.1	0.53			0.81		1.4	1.1	0.33	1.3	5.1	
Chrysene	Μ	2700	mg/kg	0.1	0.57			0.61		0.62	0.66	1.2	1.1	6.6	
Benzo[b]fluoranthene	М	2700	mg/kg	0.1	< 0.10			< 0.10		< 0.10	0.58	< 0.10	0.65	6.9	
Benzo[k]fluoranthene	М	2700	mg/kg	0.1	< 0.10			< 0.10		< 0.10	0.39	< 0.10	0.32	3.0	



## **Results Summary - Soil**

#### Report No.: 14-05531 Issue-1

Client: Sub Surface	C	Chemtest Sample ID.:				28287	28288	28289	28290	28291	28292	28293	28294	28295	28296
Quote:		Clien	t Sample	e Ref.:											
Order No.: 5900		Clier	nt Samp	le ID.:	TP7	TP7	TP7	TP8	TP8	TP8	TP9	TP9	TP10	TP10	TP10
	Sample Type:				SOIL										
	Top Depth (m): Bottom Depth(m):					0.50	0.80	0.05	0.30	0.70	0.10	0.50	0.05	0.30	0.60
		[	Date Sar	mpled:	02-Jul-14										
Determinand	Accred.	SOP	Units	LOD											
Benzo[a]pyrene	М	2700	mg/kg	0.1	< 0.10			< 0.10		< 0.10	0.32	< 0.10	0.63	5.1	
Indeno(1,2,3-c,d)Pyrene	М	2700	mg/kg	0.1	< 0.10			< 0.10		< 0.10	0.32	< 0.10	0.40	3.6	
Dibenz(a,h)Anthracene	М	2700	mg/kg	0.1	< 0.10			< 0.10		< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	0.84	
Benzo[g,h,i]perylene	М	2700	mg/kg	0.1	< 0.10			< 0.10		< 0.10	0.27	< 0.10	0.51	3.6	
Total Of 16 PAH's	Ν	2700	mg/kg	2	5.2			3.6		2.9	5.7	5.9	8.2	61	
Benzene	М	2760	µg/kg	1	< 1.0			< 1.0		< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	
Toluene	М	2760	µg/kg	1	< 1.0			< 1.0		< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	
Ethylbenzene	М	2760	µg/kg	1	< 1.0			< 1.0		< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	
m & p-Xylene	М	2760	µg/kg	1	< 1.0			< 1.0		< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	
o-Xylene	М	2760	µg/kg	1	< 1.0			< 1.0		< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	
Methyl Tert-Butyl Ether	М	2760	µg/kg	1	< 1.0			< 1.0		< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	
Total Phenols	М	2920	mg/kg	0.3	< 0.30			< 0.30		< 0.30	< 0.30	< 0.30	< 0.30	< 0.30	



Report No.: 14-05531 Issue-1

Client: Sub Surface	Chemtest Sample ID.:				28297	28298	28299	28300	28301
Quote:	Client Sample Ref .:								
Order No.: 5900		Client Sample ID.:		TP11	TP11	TP11	TP12	TP12	
		Sample Type:		SOIL	SOIL	SOIL	SOIL	SOIL	
		Top Depth (m):		0.00	0.20	0.60	0.00	0.40	
		Bottom Depth(m):							
			Date Sa	mpled:	02-Jul-14	02-Jul-14	02-Jul-14	02-Jul-14	02-Jul-14
Determinand	Accred.	SOP	Units	LOD					
Moisture	Ν	2030	%	0.02	21	20	27	25	19
рН	М	2010			6.0	6.3	6.3	7.5	6.9
Boron (Hot Water Soluble)	М	2120	mg/kg	0.4	0.68	0.47		0.51	0.49
Sulphate (2:1 Water Soluble) as SO4	М	2120	g/L	0.01		0.016	0.11		< 0.010
Cyanide (Total)	М	2300	mg/kg	0.5	< 0.50	< 0.50		< 0.50	< 0.50
Sulphide (Easily Liberatable)	М	2325	mg/kg	0.5	2.4	3.4		5.6	2.3
Sulphate (Total)	М	2430	%	0.01	0.054	0.091		0.071	0.027
Arsenic	М	2450	mg/kg	2	11	29		10	8.6
Cadmium	М	2450	mg/kg	0.1	0.15	< 0.10		0.22	0.10
Chromium	М	2450	mg/kg	5	17	12		15	25
Copper	М	2450	mg/kg	5	24	72		21	28
Mercury	М	2450	mg/kg	0.1	< 0.10	< 0.10		< 0.10	< 0.10
Nickel	М	2450	mg/kg	5	23	53		19	22
Lead	М	2450	mg/kg	5	31	16		31	34
Selenium	М	2450	mg/kg	0.2	0.40	0.20		< 0.20	< 0.20
Zinc	М	2450	mg/kg	5	22	11		26	24
Chromium (Hexavalent)	Ν	2490	mg/kg	0.5	< 0.50	< 0.50		< 0.50	< 0.50
TPH >C6-C10	Ν	2670	mg/kg	1	< 1.0	< 1.0		< 1.0	< 1.0
TPH >C10-C21	Ν	2670	mg/kg	1	< 1.0	< 1.0		5.9	1.4
TPH >C21-C40	Ν	2670	mg/kg	1	7.0	< 1.0		20	10
Total TPH >C6-C40	Ν	2670	mg/kg	10	< 10	< 10		26	12
Naphthalene	М	2700	mg/kg	0.1	< 0.10	< 0.10		< 0.10	< 0.10
Acenaphthylene	М	2700	mg/kg	0.1	< 0.10	< 0.10		< 0.10	< 0.10
Acenaphthene	М	2700	mg/kg	0.1	< 0.10	< 0.10		< 0.10	< 0.10
Fluorene	М	2700	mg/kg	0.1	< 0.10	< 0.10		< 0.10	< 0.10
Phenanthrene	М	2700	mg/kg	0.1	0.69	< 0.10		0.35	< 0.10
Anthracene	М	2700	mg/kg	0.1	0.14	< 0.10		0.13	< 0.10
Fluoranthene	М	2700	mg/kg	0.1	1.4	0.25		0.93	0.39
Pyrene	М	2700	mg/kg	0.1	1.4	0.27		0.96	0.46
Benzo[a]anthracene	М	2700	mg/kg	0.1	1.0	< 0.10		0.52	0.60
Chrysene	М	2700	mg/kg	0.1	0.91	< 0.10		0.40	0.53
Benzo[b]fluoranthene	М	2700	mg/kg	0.1	0.81	< 0.10		0.51	< 0.10
Benzo[k]fluoranthene	Μ	2700	mg/kg	0.1	0.61	< 0.10		0.25	< 0.10



Report No.: 14-05531 Issue-1

Client: Sub Surface	C	hemtes	st Samp	le ID.:	28297	28298	28299	28300	28301
Quote:		Clien	t Sample	e Ref.:					
Order No.: 5900	Client Sample ID.:			TP11	TP11	TP11	TP12	TP12	
			Sample	Type:	SOIL	SOIL	SOIL	SOIL	SOIL
		Т	op Dept	th (m):	0.00	0.20	0.60	0.00	0.40
		Bot	tom Dep	oth(m):					
	Date Sampled:				02-Jul-14	02-Jul-14	02-Jul-14	02-Jul-14	02-Jul-14
Determinand	Accred.	SOP	Units	LOD					
Benzo[a]pyrene	М	2700	mg/kg	0.1	0.61	< 0.10		0.53	< 0.10
Indeno(1,2,3-c,d)Pyrene	М	2700	mg/kg	0.1	0.24	< 0.10		0.30	< 0.10
Dibenz(a,h)Anthracene	М	2700	mg/kg	0.1	< 0.10	< 0.10		< 0.10	< 0.10
Benzo[g,h,i]perylene	М	2700	mg/kg	0.1	0.34	< 0.10		0.34	< 0.10
Total Of 16 PAH's	Ν	2700	mg/kg	2	8.2	< 2.0		5.2	< 2.0
Benzene	М	2760	µg/kg	1	< 1.0	< 1.0		< 1.0	< 1.0
Toluene	М	2760	µg/kg	1	< 1.0	< 1.0		< 1.0	< 1.0
Ethylbenzene	М	2760	µg/kg	1	< 1.0	< 1.0		< 1.0	< 1.0
m & p-Xylene	М	2760	µg/kg	1	< 1.0	< 1.0		< 1.0	< 1.0
o-Xylene	М	2760	µg/kg	1	< 1.0	< 1.0		< 1.0	< 1.0
Methyl Tert-Butyl Ether	М	2760	µg/kg	1	< 1.0	< 1.0		< 1.0	< 1.0
Total Phenols	М	2920	mg/kg	0.3	< 0.30	< 0.30		< 0.30	< 0.30

# The right chemistry to deliver results Report Information

#### Key

U	UKAS accredited
М	MCERTS and UKAS accredited
N	Unaccredited
S	This analysis has been subcontracted to a UKAS accredited laboratory that is accredited for this analysis
SN	This analysis has been subcontracted to a UKAS accredited laboratory that is not accredited for this analysis
Т	This analysis has been subcontracted to an unaccredited laboratory
I/S	Insufficient Sample
U/S	Unsuitable sample
N/E	not evaluated
<	"less than"
>	"greater than"
	Comments or interpretations are beyond the scope of UKAS accreditation
	The results relate only to the items tested
	Uncertainty of measurement for the determinands tested are available upon request
	None of the results in this report have been recovery corrected
	All results are expressed on a dry weight basis
	The following tests were analysed on samples as received and the results subsequently corrected to a dry
	weight basis TPH, BTEX, VOCs, SVCOs, PCBs, Phenols
	For all other tests the samples were dried at $< 37^{\circ}$ C prior to analysis
	All Asbestos testing is performed at our Coventry laboratory
	Issue numbers are sequential starting with 1 all subsequent reports are incremented by 1
Sample De	viation Codes

- A Date of sampling not supplied
- B Sample age exceeds stability time (sampling to extraction)
- C Sample not received in appropriate containers

#### Sample Retention and Disposal

All soil samples will be retained for a period of 1 month following the date of the test report All water samples will be retained for 7 days following the date of the test report Charges may apply to extended sample storage

If you require extended retention of samples, please email your requirements to: <u>customerservices@chemtest.co.uk</u> TRIAL PIT RECORD SHEETS

S	SUB SUR	FACE					Site Trial P Numb				
6	SITE INVESTIGATION, 3 Peel Street, Preston, F	<b>GEOTECHI</b> PR2 2QS. Te	NICAL AND ENVIRONM el. (01772) 561135 Fax (	01772) 2049	<b>NSULTANT</b> 907	S	NEW CAR PARK, BAE SA	MLESBURY, LANCASHIRE		TP7	
Excavatio MECHANI	n Method CAL EXCAVATOR	Dimens 0.50m	i <b>ons</b> x 1.10m x 1.50m		Ground	Level (mOD)	Client BAE SYSTEMS PLC			Job Number 5900	
		Locatio	'n		Dates	2/07/2014	Engineer			Sheet	
		AS	S PLAN				TRP CONSULTING			1/1	
Depth (m)	Sample / Tests	Water Depth (m)	Field Recor	rds	Level (mOD)	Depth (m) (Thickness)	D	escription		Legend X	
0.10-0.30 0.10-0.30	B D*					(0.40) - 0.40	MADE GROUND: grass o sandy clay with occasiona are fine to coarse stone ar from 0.10m: occasion	ver brown slightly gravelly sl I rootlets. Gravel sized fragn d occasional ceramics and al grey mottling	lightly nents brick		
0.50	D					0.45 (0.35)	MADE GROUND: dark gre slightly sandy clay with oc fragments are fine to coars Grey brown and orange bi	ey and brown slightly graveli casional rootlets. Gravel size se stone	y ed	×	
0.80-1.00	В					0.80	sandy silty CLAY with lens occasional relic rootlets. G fine to coarse quartz, sand	es of fine to medium sand a gravel is subangular to round Istone and siltstone	nd Jed	× · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
1.00			HV@1.10m, c=92k	Pa		1.00	Multi coloured very gravel cobble content of quartz a clayey gravel. Gravel is su coarse quartz, siltstone ar	y sandy silty CLAY with mee nd with occasional lenses of bangular to rounded fine to d sandstone	f	x 0 x x 0 x x x x x x 0 x 0 x x 0	
1.20	D					(0.50)	Stiff high strength brown a slightly gravelly slightly sa content of quartz and occa subangular to rounded find	nd occasional light grey mot ndy silty CLAY with low cobb isional relic rootlets. Gravel a to coarse guartz, siltstone	ttled ble is and	× · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
1.50	D		HV@1.50m, c=129 02/07/2014:DRY	kPa		1.50	Sandstone Complete at 1.50m			<u>*</u>	
Plan							Remarks				
							D* = 1 Plastic Jar Sample, 1 taken for chemical testing. Pit sides remained vertical a Trial pit remained dry.	Amber Glass Jar Sample, 1 nd stable.	l Vial Sa	mple,	
							nv = Hand Shear Vane test				
		·				· · ·	Scale (approx)	Logged By	Figure	No.	
							1:25	ALM/DK	59	00.TP7	

S	SUB SUR SITE INVESTIGATION, 3 Peel Street, Preston, F	FACE GEOTECHI PR2 2QS. TE	NICAL AND ENVIRONMENTAL ( 1. (01772) 561135 Fax (01772) 2	CONSULTANT	s	Site NEW CAR PARK, BAE SAMLESBURY, LANCASHIRE			
Excavatio MECHANI	n Method CAL EXCAVATOR	Dimens 0.50m	<b>ions</b> x 1.30m x 1.50m	Ground	Level (mOD)	Client BAE SYSTEMS PLC		Job Number 5900	
		Locatio AS	n 9 PLAN	Dates 02	2/07/2014	Engineer TRP CONSULTING		<b>Sheet</b> 1/1	
Depth (m)	Sample / Tests	Water Depth (m)	Field Records	Level (mOD)	Depth (m) (Thickness)	D	escription	Kater Kater Kater	
0.05-0.20	D*				(0.25) 0.25	MADE GROUND: grass o brown mottled gravelly slig rootlets. Gravel sized frag sandstone and clinker	ver dark brown and occasic htly sandy silty clay with so ments are fine to coarse sto	onal ome one,	
0.30-0.50 0.30-0.50	B D*				- (0.75)	MADE GROUND: dark bro gravelly slightly sandy slity sized fragments are fine to brick and clinker with occa to 0.70m	own and grey brown slightly clay with some rootlets. Go coarse stone and occasio isional brown and grey mot	ravel nal tling	
0.70-0.90	В		HV@0.70m, c=43kPa HV@0.80m, c=62kPa		(0.73)	from 0.70m: firm medi	um strength		
1.00-1.20	В		HV@1.00m, c=35kPa		1.00 (0.20) 1.20	MADE GROUND: soft low slightly sandy silty clay wit remains. Gravel sized frag brick, quartz and slag (org	strength dark grey gravelly h some rootlets and plant ments are fine to coarse st anic odour)	one,	
1.30	D		HV@1.30m, c= 104kPa 02/07/2014:DRY		(0.30) - 1.50	Stiff high strength brown g with occasional rootlets ar quartz. Gravel is fine to co siltstone	ravelly slightly sandy silty C Id medium cobble content c arse quartz, sandstone and	LAY	
Plan						Complete at 1.50m			
	· · ·					D* = 1 Plastic Jar Sample, 1 taken for chemical testing. Pit sides remained vertical a Trial pit remained dry. HV = Hand Shear Vane test	Amber Glass Jar Sample, and stable.	1 Vial Sample,	
· · ·	•••	•	· · ·		 				
	· · ·				<u>.</u>	Scale (approx)	Logged By	Figure No.	
						1:25	ALM/DK	5900.TP8	

S	SUB SUR	FACE				Site Trial Pit Number			
63	SITE INVESTIGATION, 0 3 Peel Street, Preston, P	R2 2QS. Te	NICAL AND ENVIRONMENTAL C el. (01772) 561135 Fax (01772) 20	ONSULTANT	S	NEW CAR PARK, BAE SA	MLESBURY, LANCASHIRE	TP9	
Excavation MECHANIC	n Method CAL EXCAVATOR	Dimens 0.50m	<b>ions</b> x 1.30m x 1.60m	Ground	Level (mOD)	Client BAE SYSTEMS PLC		Job Number 5900	
		Locatio AS	n 5 PLAN	Dates 03	8/07/2014	Engineer TRP CONSULTING		<b>Sheet</b> 1/1	
Depth (m)	Sample / Tests	Water Depth (m)	Field Records	Level (mOD)	Depth (m) (Thickness)	D	escription	Legend S	
0.10-0.30 0.10-0.30	B D*				 (0.50)	MADE GROUND: grass or slightly sandy clay with sor sized fragments are fine to clinker and plastic	ver dark brown slightly grav me roots and rootlets. Grav o coarse stone, brick, concre	relly el ete,	
0.50-0.60 0.50-0.60 0.60-0.75	B D* B				0.50 (0.10) 0.60 (0.15)	MADE GROUND: dark bro brown very ashy gravelly s fine to coarse slag and sto	own, dark grey and dark ora sand. Gravel sized fragmen one	inge ts are	
0.75-0.95	В		HV@0.80m, c=74kPa		0.75 (0.25)	MADE GROUND: grey an gravelly slightly sandy clay rootlets. Gravel sized fragi slag and clinker	d orange brown mottled slig / with some relic roots and ments are fine to coarse sto	phtly <u>* - *</u> <u>* - *</u> <u>* - *</u> <u>* - *</u>	
1.00-1.20	В		HV@1.20m, c=96kPa HV@1.30m, c=109kPa		- 1.00 - (0.20) - 1.20	Firm medium strength ligh grey brown gravelly sandy and many lenses of fine to cobble content of quartz. ( fine to coarse sandstone,	t brown, orange brown and silty CLAY with occasional medium sand and with low Gravel is subangular to rour quartz and siltstone	hded	
1.50	D		00/07/0014 001		(0.40) 	Stiff high strength multi co CLAY with medium cobble angular to subrounded fine siltstone and sandstone	loured very gravelly silty sa content of quartz. Gravel is e to coarse quartz, mudstor	ndy	
			02/07/2014:DRY			Stiff brown gravelly slightly low cobble content of quar Gravel sized fragments an sandstone and siltstone	r sandy slightly silty CLAY w tz and occasional relic root e fine to coarse quartz,	/ith lets.	
						Complete at 1.60m			
Plan .		•		•	• •	Remarks			
						$D^{-}$ = 1 Plastic Jar Sample, 1 taken for chemical testing. Pit sides remained vertical a Trial pit remained dry. HV = Hand Shear Vane test	Amber Glass Jar Sample, and stable.	i viai Sample,	
					•••				
		·			•••				
		·				Scale (approx)	Logged By	Figure No.	
						1:25	ALM/DK	5900.TP9	

S	SUB SUR SITE INVESTIGATION 3 Peel Street, Preston,	FACE GEOTECHI PR2 2QS. TE	NICAL AND ENVIRO el. (01772) 561135 F	ONMENTAL CC ax (01772) 204	DNSULTANT 4907	Site T NEW CAR PARK, BAE SAMLESBURY, LANCASHIRE				'it er O	
Excavatio MECHANI	on Method ICAL EXCAVATOR	Dimens 0.50m	s <b>ions</b> x 1.50m x 2.00m		Ground	Level (mOE	) Client BAE SYSTEMS PLC			Job Number 5900	
		Locatio AS	n S PLAN		Dates 02	2/07/2014	Engineer TRP CONSULTING			Sheet 1/1	
Depth (m)	Sample / Tests	Water Depth (m)	Field Re	cords	Level (mOD)	Depth (m) (Thickness	;) E	escription	L	.egend	_ Water
0.05-0.20	D*					(0.30	MADE GROUND: grass of clay with some rootlets. G coarse stone	ver brown gravelly slightly s ravel sized fragments are fi	andy ne to		
0.30-0.50 0.30-0.50	B D*					- 0.30 - (0.10 - 0.40	MADE GROUND: dark gr gravel sized fragments of brick	ey and dark brown very san fine to coarse slag, stone a	dy nd		
0.60-0.80	В					(0.50	MADE GROUND: brown s clay. Gravel sized fragmer brick from 0.40m: becomin	slightly gravelly slightly sand hts are fine to coarse stone g brown, grey brown and gre	ly silty and ey		
0.00.1.00	P					0.90					
0.90-1.00	В					- (0.10	Fibrous PEAI	wn and dark grov mottled sl	iabtly ×		
1.00			HV@1.10m, c=2	23kPa		(0.30	gravelly slightly sandy slit and plant remains. Grave coarse sandstone and qu	y CLAY with some roots, roc is subangular to rounded fi artz	ne to	X	
						- 1.30 - -	Stiff high strength brown a slightly gravelly slightly sa content of quartz. Gravel	and occasional light grey mo ndy silty CLAY with low cob s subrounded fine to coarse	ottled	× × 0	∇ı
1.50	D		Seepage(1) at 1 HV@1.50m, c=8	.50m. 35kPa		(0.60	quartz, sandstone and silt	stone	••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	× °	<b>x</b> ,
									**	×	
			Seepage(2) at 1	.90m.		- 1.90 - (0.10 - 2.00	Brown very gravelly very subangular to rounded fin	sandy silty CLAY. Gravel is e to coarse quartz, sandsto	ne and	× · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<b>V</b> 2
							Complete at 2.00m				
						-					
						-					
						-					
						<u> </u>					
Plan		·			•		Remarks D* = 1 Plastic Jar Sample.	Amber Glass Jar Sample.	1 Vial Sar	nple.	
							taken for chemical testing. Pit sides remained vertical a Seepage at 1.50m and see HV = Hand Shear Vane test	and stable. bage at base.		<del>.</del> ,	
				·	•	•••	Scale (approx)	Logged By	Figure	No.	
							1:25	ALM/DK	5900	0.TP10	1

5	SUB SUR SITE INVESTIGATION, O 3 Peel Street, Preston, P	FACE SEOTECHI R2 2QS. Te	NICAL AND ENVIRONMENTAL 91. (01772) 561135 Fax (01772) 2	CONSULTANT 204907	s	Site         Trial Pit           NEW CAR PARK, BAE SAMLESBURY, LANCASHIRE         TP11				
Excavatio	n Method CAVATED	Dimens 0.25m	<b>ions</b> x 0.25m	Ground	Level (mOD)	Client BAE SYSTEMS PLC		Job Number 5900		
		Locatio AS	n 9 PLAN	Dates 03	8/07/2014	Engineer TRP CONSULTING		<b>Sheet</b> 1/1		
Depth (m)	Sample / Tests	Water Depth (m)	Field Records	Level (mOD)	Depth (m) (Thickness)	D	escription	Legend S		
0.00-0.20 0.00-0.20 0.20-0.60	B D* B				(0.20) 0.20	MADE GROUND: grass or slightly sandy silty clay wit roots and rootlets. Gravel coarse stone	ver dark brown slightly grave h low cobble content and ma sized fragments are fine to	elly any		
0.20-0.00					(0.40)	MADE GROUND: dark bro coarse sand. Gravel sized brick, stone and slag	own ashy very gravelly medi fragments are fine to coarse	um to e		
0.60-1.00 0.60-1.00	B D				0.60 (0.40)	MADE GROUND: very sol gravelly slightly sandy silty fine to coarse brick and ste	t dark greyish brown slightly clay. Gravel sized fragment one	s are		
			03/07/2014:DRY		- - - -	Complete at 1.00m				
					- - - -					
					- - - - -					
					- - - -					
					- - - - -					
Plan		•			• •	Remarks D* = 1 Plastic Jar Sample, 1	Amber Glass Jar Sample, 1	Vial Sample,		
		·				taken for chemical testing. Pit sides remained vertical a Trial pit remained dry. Hand dug due to possible se	nd stable.	• *		
		•								
		·								
		·		·	•••					
		•		·	· · ·   •	Scale (approx) 1:25	Logged By DM/DK	Figure No. 5900.TP11		

S	SUB SUR		NICAL AND ENVIRONMENTAL	CONSULTANT	Site NEW CAR PARK, BAE SAMLESBURY, LANCASHIRE TP12			
Excavatio	n Method CAVATED	Dimens 0.25m	ions x 0.25m	Ground	Level (mOD)	Client BAE SYSTEMS PLC		Job Number 5900
		Locatio AS	n S PLAN	Dates	3/07/2014	Engineer TRP CONSULTING		<b>Sheet</b> 1/1
Depth (m)	Sample / Tests	Water Depth (m)	Field Records	Level (mOD)	Depth (m) (Thickness)	D	escription	Legend S
0.00-0.40 0.00-0.40	B D*				 (0.40) 	MADE GROUND: grass o slightly sandy silty clay wit sized fragments are subar stone and slag	ver dark brown slightly grave h many roots and rootlets. G ngular to rounded fine to coa	elly Gravel Irse
0.40-0.90 0.40-0.90	B D*				0.40 (0.50)	MADE GROUND: firm gre brown slightly gravelly slig cobble content and some fragments are fine to coars	y brown and occasional ora htly sandy silty clay with low roots and rootlets. Gravel siz se stone	ngish zed
0.90-1.00	D		03/07/2014:DRY		- 0.90 - (0.10) - 1.00	Soft brown and grey mottle silty CLAY with some fine subangular to rounded fine siltstone	ed slightly gravelly slightly sa to coarse sand lenses. Grav e to medium sandstone and	andy <u>·····x.</u> el is
Plan						Remarks		
						D* = 1 Plastic Jar Sample, 1 taken for chemical testing. Pit sides remained vertical a Trial pit remained dry.	Amber Glass Jar Sample, 1 Ind stable.	l Vial Sample,
						Hand dug due to possible se	ervices being present.	
• • •				•	· ·			
						Scale (approx)	Logged By	Figure No.
						1:25	DM/DK	5900.TP12



FIGURES



SUB SURFACE SITE INVESTIGATION AND SPECIALIST GEOTECHNICAL CONSULTANTS 3 Peel Street, Preston, PR2 2QS. Tel. (01772) 561135 Fax (01772) 204907	General Site Location						
	Date Drawn	Date Checked	Orientation	Job No.			
NEW CAR PARK, BAE STSTEWS, SAMLESBURT, LANCASHIRE	21/06/2014		Å₽ ¶₽	5900			
Client	Drawn By	Checked By	Scale	Figure No.			
BAE SYSTEMS PLC	TP			1			

